Novel Mass Spectrometry-Based Sequencing Method for Modified sgRNA

Brittney Pierson¹, Madeleine Mohrand², Timo Schierling², Eric Anderson¹, Stephan Seiffert², Ingo Roehl², Hans-Peter Vornlocher², Brent Morse¹, Sadik Kassim¹

¹Vor Biopharma, 100 Cambridgepark Dr, Cambridge, MA, USA 02140²Axolabs GmbH, Fritz-Hornschuch-Straße 9, 95326 Kulmbach, Germany

INTRODUCTION

- CRISPR/Cas9 technology has become a primary tool for genome editing and gene regulation, yet the components of this system remain poorly characterized, particularly the single guide RNA (sgRNA).
- ► The CRIPSR/ Cas9 system utilizes a Cas9 protein which is an RNA-guided DNA endonuclease that complexes with sgRNA to form an active ribonucleoprotein (RNP). The 20-nucleotide variable region of the sgRNA targets a specific gene to enable the Cas9 protein to cleave the DNA resulting in a double-strand break (Figure 1).¹ 2'-O-methyl modifications and phosphorothioate linkages are commonly employed modifications on the sgRNA to increase genome editing² and increase cellular RNA stability^{3,4} (Figure 2).
- Sequence confirmation of the sgRNA for clinical and commercial applications is essential to ensure specificity of the RNP complex for the target gene. Although next generation sequencing (NGS) is often used for sequence confirmation, this method indirectly sequences the molecule as RNA must first be reverse transcribed into DNA. Furthermore, NGS is unable to detect modified nucleic acids which enables only partial sequence confirmation for the modified RNA commonly used with CRISPR, base editing, and siRNA. A mass spectrometry-based (MS) sequencing was evaluated to enable direct sequencing of unmodified or modified sgRNA while also detecting modifications.







3' End ,

MATERIALS AND METHODS

sgRNA Cleavage and Fragment Separation

- > An sgRNA was designed and chemically synthesized with three 2'-O-Methyl and three phosphorothioate linkages on both the 5' and 3' ends to mimic common modification strategies used for sgRNA.
- The sgRNA was cleaved into two smaller fragments and separated prior to analysis to simplify the MS deconvolution and fragment detection.
- > An antisense oligonucleotide (ASO) was incubated at elevated temperature to denature the sgRNA and hybridize the ASO and sgRNA which enables RNase H mediated cleavage of the sgRNA at position 51 (Figure 3).
- Biotinylated capture probes with complementary sequence to either the 5' or 3' end of the sgRNA enables separation of the cleavage products using Streptavidin Dynabeads[™] in two separate reactions which magnetically separates the cleavage product from the rest of the mixture.

Alkaline Fragmentation of Separated Cleavage Products

- The cleavage products were chemically fragmented via alkaline digestion. Immediately after digestion, the solution was neutralized to prevent further fragmentation.
- > The alkaline digestion generated random breaks in the phosphate backbone. The majority of the fragments contain only a single break which results in a mass ladder containing the full cleavage product (either 51 or 49 nucleotides) and all partial fragments (N-1, N-2, N-3, etc.) for each cleavage product (Figure 3).



LC-MS Analysis of Chemically Digested Fragments

► TIC and representative mass spectra for the 3' cleavage product only. 5' cleavage product resulted in similar chromatographic and spectral profiles. Starred fragments are shown in Table 3.

Table 1. Fragment Detection for 3' Cleavage Product		Table 2. Fragment Detection for 5' CleavageProduct	
Theoretical Fragments	46	Theoretical Fragments	48
5' Fragments Detected	46	5' Fragments Detected	46
3' Fragments Detected	43	3' Fragments Detected	48
Undetected Fragments	3' N-1, 3' N-2, and 3' N-3	Undetected Fragments	5' N-2 and 5' N-3

Not all the theoretical fragments were detected for either cleavage product. However, there was no missing sequence coverage as the same fragments were detected from the opposite end. Further, the intermediate product was evaluated from both ends resulting in sequence overlap. The three nucleotides with modifications on each end were detected via tandem-MS

Table 3. 3' Cleavage Product Fragment Identification Scheme				
Fragment	MW (Most Abundant Mass)	MW (Monoisotopic)	Detected MW	Detection MW
FLP 3' End	15884.02	15877.00	15884.07	Most Abundant
5'-p-(N-1)	15475.00	15467.98	15475.06	Most Abundant
5'-p-(N-2)	15129.95	15122.94	15129.99	Most Abundant
5'-p-(N-3)	14783.90	14777.89	14783.98	Most Abundant
5'-p-(N-43)	1902.21	1902.21	1902.21	Monoisotopic
5'-p-(N-44)	1557.16	1557.17	1557.17	Monoisotopic
5'-p-(N-45)	1252.12	1252.12	1252.13	Monoisotopic
3' (N-1)	15639.95	15632.93	Not Detected	Most Abundant
3' (N-2)	15303.93	15296.92	Not Detected	Most Abundant
3' (N-3)	14966.91	14960.90	Not Detected	Most Abundant
3' (N-43)	2039.23	2039.23	2039.24	Monoisotopic
3' (N-44)	1710.18	1710.18	1710.17	Monoisotopic
3' (N-45)	1404.15	1404.15	1404.16	Monoisotopic

- Digested fragments were analyzed using denaturing ion-pair reversed-phase chromatography (IP-RP) with UV (Ultimate 3000 RS, Thermo Fisher) and ESI-MS detection (MaXis Q-TOF, Bruker) equipped with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH 130 Å C18 column (Waters). Analytes were separated via a series of gradient elution steps using mobile phase A= 100 mM HFIP, 16.3 mM TEA, 1% MeOH and mobile phase B= 50% ACN and 50% MeOH (v/v) as follows: 0-11% B for 20 minutes, 11-12% B for 0.5 minutes and 12-70% B for 4.5 minutes at 0.25 mL/min and 60°C column temperature.
- ▶ The fragments were identified using the MaXis Q-TOF in negative detection mode. UV traces were used to track the progression of the separation at 260 and 280 nm but were not used to generate relevant data.
- ▶ The mass spectra were extracted from the total ion chromatogram (TIC) or extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) and deconvoluted using Hystar Data Analysis software (Bruker) and assigned when the observed mass was within 0.45 Da of the theoretical mass, either monoisotopic or most abundant mass depending on the size of the fragment.

Tandem-MS Analysis of Modified 3' and 5' Ends

- The 5' and 3' ends of the sgRNA are chemically modified and thus cannot be fragmented via alkaline digestion. As such, the sequence of the ends is confirmed using tandem-MS.
- ▶ The same instrumentation, mobile phases, flow rate, column temperature, and MS detection mode were used for the LC-MS and tandem-MS evaluations, described above. Samples were loaded with 99% mobile phase A and analyzed using gradient elution from 1-16% B over 10 minutes.
- Observed fragment masses were manually compared to the theoretical masses and identified as outlined by McLuckey⁵ for charge states 1 and 2 (Figure 4). Both the precursor ion and at least one product ion must be detected in order to verify sequence.



RESULTS

Both the full-length cleavage products (51-mer and 49-mer) and all partial fragments (N-1, N-2, etc.) were successfully identified indicating full sequence confirmation of the sgRNA (Tables 1-4). All results were confirmed by a second analyst on a second day for both the number and assignment of fragments.

> Although not all fragments were detected, there was no missing sequence coverage as the same partial sequence was detected from the opposite end of the cleavage product (i.e., 3'(N-1) was not detected, but 5'-p-(n-1) was detected, thus the 48-mer for the 3' cleavage product was detected).

-				
Fragment	MW (Most Abundant Mass)	MW (Monoisotopic)	Detected MW	Detection MW
FLP 5' End	16381.2	16374.18	16381.29	Most Abundan
5' (N-1)	16046.17	16039.15	16046.20	Most Abundan
5' (N-2)	15711.13	15704.12	Not Detected	Most Abundant
5' (N-3)	15374.11	15368.10	Not Detected	Most Abundan
5' (N-45)	1889.33	1889.33	1889.34	Monoisotopic
5' (N-46)	1560.28	1560.28	1560.28	Monoisotopic
5' (N-47)	1231.23	1231.23	1231.23	Monoisotopic
3' (N-1)	16114.1	16107.09	16114.15	Most Abundant
3' (N-2)	15808.08	15801.06	15808.12	Most Abundant
3' (N-3)	15479.03	15472.01	15479.02	Most Abundant
3' (N-45)	1946.23	1945.22	1945.22	Monoisotopic
3' (N-46)	1641.19	1640.18	1640.18	Monoisotopic
3' (N-47)	1312.13	1311.13	1311 13	Monoisotopic

Table 5. Assay Robustness			
Extended Digestion Time	Sequence Confirmed		
Shorted Digestion Time	Sequence Confirmed		
Increased Digestion Duration	Sequence Confirmed		
Decreased Digestion Duration	Sequence Confirmed		
RNase H Batch Variability	Sequence Confirmed		

- > The 2'-O-methyl and phosphorothioate modifications for both ends were confirmed via tandem-MS resulting in complete sequence confirmation of the sgRNA (Table 6).
- Truncated sgRNA can be discriminated from the full-length sgRNA sequence as the full-length intermediate product was not detected for the truncated sgRNA. Other sgRNA sequences were also differentiated as the 5' end (the variable, target region of the sgRNA) cannot be sequenced due to the specificity of the capture probes for the cleavage products.
- > Assay robustness was evaluated in triplicate by altering the alkaline digestion duration, alkaline digestion temperature and batch variability of the RNase H enzyme, none of which impacted the assay performance (Table 5).

ESI-MS Analysis of Cleavage Products



Tandem-MS Analysis of Modified sgRNA Ends

Table 6. Sequence Confirmation of Modified Nucleotides				
Identified Fragment	Position	MW (monoisotopic)	Detected MW	
[a4]-1	5' Cleavage Product	1230.15	1230.21	
[b3]-1	5' Cleavage Product	927.15	927.14	
[b2]-1	5' Cleavage Product	591.13	591.13	
[c1]-1	5' Cleavage Product	334.03	334.03	
[Z3]-1	3' Cleavage Product	897.09	897.09	
[y2]-1	3' Cleavage Product	579.08	579.08	
[w2]-1	3' Cleavage Product	339.01	339.01	

All modified nucleotides were detected confirming entire sgRNA sequence. All fragments were identified with a charge state of 1.

CONCLUSIONS

- ► A novel method employing ESI-MS and Tandem-MS was established to directly sequence modified or unmodified sgRNA. This method was demonstrated to be capable of both sequence and modification confirmation.
- ► The full-length fragments and all partial fragments for both cleavage products were detected resulting in full sequence confirmation.
- The assay is reproducible across multiple analysts and days, sequence specific, and robust.
- ► This method enables sequence confirmation across small RNA utilizing technologies, modified or unmodified, such as siRNA, base editing, CRISPR, or antisense RNA.

References

1. Jinek M., et al. Science. 2012; 337(6096): 816-821. 2. Hendel A, et al. Nat Biotech. 2015; 33(9): 985-989. 3. Allerson R, et al. J Med Chem. 2005; 48 (4): 901-904. 4. Eckstein, F. Nucleic Acid Ther. 2014. 24 (6): 374-387. 5. McLuckey SA, et al. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 1992; 3 (1): 60-70.

Acknowledgments

We thank Andreas Heider, Tobias Brambrink, Amy Mendel, Ankit Mehta, Robert Pietrusko, Jessica Higgins, Suzanne Pavluk, and Bruno Haas.

Presented at TIDES USA, Sept. 21-23, 2021



Certain illustrations created with BioRender.com

NOTE: Underlined authors contributed equally